UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/646,853	08/22/2003	John C. Pederson	P76.2P-10714-US02 1504		
490 VIDAS ARRE	7590 06/01/2007 TT & STEINKRAUS, P.A	EXAM	EXAMINER		
6109 BLUE CI		BLOUN'	BLOUNT, ERIC		
SUITE 2000 MINNETONK	A, MN 55343-9185	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2612		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		06/01/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

					K			
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/646,85	53	PEDERSON, JOHN C.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
	1	Eric M. Blo	ount	2612				
The MAILII Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
A SHORTENED S WHICHEVER IS I - Extensions of time ma after SIX (6) MONTHS - If NO period for reply i - Failure to reply within Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FOIL LONGER, FROM THE MA by be available under the provisions of from the mailing date of this community specified above, the maximum statuth the set or extended period for reply with the Office later than three months after justment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF TH 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evi ication. tory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tim Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this cool (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) Responsive	to communication(s) filed	on 22 August 2003						
2a) This action	, ·)⊠ This action is n						
<u>'=</u>	pplication is in condition fo	•		secution as to the	e merits is			
closed in ac	ccordance with the practice	under Ex parte Qu	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claim	ıs ·							
4a) Of the a 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s)	4) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
10) The drawing Applicant ma Replacemen	ation is objected to by the g(s) filed on 22 August 200 ay not request that any objectit drawing sheet(s) including the declaration is objected to be	3 is/are: a) \square acce on to the drawing(s) the correction is required.	ne held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s)			•					
1) Notice of Reference			4) Interview Summary					
	on's Patent Drawing Review (PToure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) ate	O-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/646,853

Art Unit: 2612

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Status Update

1. Claims 1 and 2 are currently pending in the present application. This application does not contain an Information Disclosure Statement as indicated in the incoming letter from applicant, received on January 5, 2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for an optical input device, does not reasonably provide enablement for an optical input device configured to capture an image of a vehicle license plate and an image of the driver of the vehicle. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Figure 2 shows separate optical devices for capturing an image of the driver and of the license plate. It is unclear how either of the two optical input devices shown is capable of transmitting an image of both the driver and the license plate, as indicated by the claim language.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,853 Page 3

Art Unit: 2612

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kucik [US 7,103,614 B1] in view of Ribacoff [US 5,635,981].

As for **claim 1**, Kucik discloses an automatic vehicle information retrieval for use at entry to a secure site comprising:

- Establishing a security zone (Figure 2);
- Positioning at least one optical input device to observe the security zone (106A);
- Connecting the optical input device to a computer (column 2, lines 54-64);
- Observing the occurrences within the security zone and communicating the observed occurrences to the computer (entry of a vehicle into a security zone and subsequently into the secure site is viewed as an occurrence);
- Processing the observed occurrences within the computer as compared to stored or retrieved data available to the computer (column 3, lines 13-30); and
- Issuing a signal to a security officer to investigate an occurrence (column 3, lines 30-45).

Kucik does not specifically disclose storing observed occurrences within the computer to create a database for the security zone. In an analogous art for identifying security investigations,

Art Unit: 2612

Ribacoff discloses a step of storing observed occurrences (approach a person into a security zone and an captured image) within a computer to create a database for the security zone (column 4, lines 59-65). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention by the applicant to modify the invention of Kucik to include a step for storing observed occurrences, as taught by Ribacoff because the modification would allow security personnel to determine all vehicles/people that previously entered a secure site. This would be advantageous when obtaining information about individuals and/or vehicles during and/or after a security event. Further, when a security event occurs, the security personnel would be able to determine what vehicles/people were present at the secure site at the time of the security event.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 2 would be allowable if the 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st paragraph rejection is overcome in response to this official action.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric M. Blount whose telephone number is (571) 272-2973. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:00 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Wu can be reached on (571) 272-2964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,853

Art Unit: 2612

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Eric M. Blount Examiner Art Unit 2612

BENJAMIN C. LEE PRIMARY EXAMINER